Wednesday

October 21, 2020

National Center on Deaf-Blindness

Academic Standards for Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities

3:00 PM-4:30 PM

CART provided by A La CARTe Connection, LLC.

CART Disclaimer: This rough edit transcript, which may contain missing, misspelled or paraphrased words, is only provided for your immediate review and is not certified as verbatim and is not to be cited in any way.

[Captioner standing by]

>> HAYLEE MARCOTTE: All right, this is Haylee Marcotte. I think we'll get started. I want to begin which welcoming everyone. I will quickly run through some important housekeeping items.

To begin with, all phones have been muted and microphones have been turned off to reduce background noise. We ask you please keep your phones on mute during the presentation.

This session will include participation through polls and we encourage everyone to participate.

If you have any questions, please put them in the chat pod at any time as it will be monitored throughout the presentation.

This recording will be archived and the materials will be posted on the

NCDB website. I'll put a link for that in the chat pod shortly.

All right, I'm going to start the recording and hand this over to Linda McDowell, our Project Director to get us started.

>> LINDA MCDOWELL: Good afternoon, this is Linda McDowell and I'm the Co-Director at the National Center on Deaf-Blindness.

I want to share with you during that during the months of July through January, as part of our Deaf-Blind Summit 2020, NCDB is holding six webinars on instructional strategies and resources of interest to families and educators of children and youth who are deaf-blind, as well as state DeafBlind Project personnel.

And the link that Haylee has put there in our website, it will take you to those six instructional strategies sessions. And you'll find descriptions and resources there.

Resources for each of the instructional strategy sessions held so far include session recordings, a PowerPoint, and a facts sheet. And those facts sheets have key points and resource links.

The fact sheet for today's session is already on the website.

In July, we were pleased to have Dr. Susan Bruce and Dr. Tracy Evans bring us strategies and materials on establishing routines at home that were particularly applicable to children and youth who are emerging communicators. And while the majority of the children and youth serve by state DeafBlind Projects are emerging communicators, there is a growing number who are proficient communicators and are being served in the General Education classroom.

In September we were pleased to have Deanna Peterson and Nancy

O'Donnell for bringing us needs for proficient communicators.

Here in October and the two November sessions, our focus is on accessing the General Education curriculum, including information on academic standards for students with cognitive -- significant cognitive disabilities and early literacy and numeracy.

Again, please see the descriptions for these three sessions on either of the websites, the links that Haylee has put in the chat will take you there.

And I want to say before I move any farther is that ensuring that all students have access to the General Education curriculum is a priority to OSEP. OSEP funds us and our technical assistance and to support this effort, our Project Officer, Susan Weigert, has encouraged our partnership with National Centers who focus on this outcome.

Susan is here with us today and she will interest the presenters for this topic. Susan.

>> SUSAN WEIGERT: Hi, there. Thank you so much, Linda.

Today I want to introduce the two speakers whom I work very closely with in my other capacity as the Project Officer for the TIES Center. This is Sheryl Lazarus, who is the Director of the TIES Center and Martha Thurlow who is also serving in an advisory role for the TIES Center and who you may know as the National Director of Educational Outcomes.

So Sheryl is the Director of the TIES Center and TIES stands for increasing the time, the instructional effectiveness, the engagement, and the state and district support for inclusive practices.

And when we say "inclusive practices," we're talking about students with the most significant cognitive impairments. And TIES Center is the

Department of Education's National Technical Assistance Center on Inclusive Practices and Policies and it's funded by the Office of Special Education Programs.

So TIES works with states, with districts, with schools to support the movement of students with disabilities from less inclusive to more inclusive environments.

As Director of the TIES Center, Sheryl conducts research and provides technical assistance on educational change processes that will support and sustain the inclusion of all students, including students with deaf-blindness in inclusive learning settings.

She seeks to address the complex and interrelated route causes that contribute to barriers to inclusion and works to build consensus and capacity among practitioners and policymakers.

Sheryl is also the Director of the National Center of Educational Outcomes. She has published extensively, including more than 200 articles and reports on topics related to the instruction and assessment of students with disabilities, English Learners, and English Learners with disabilities.

Martha Thurlow is the former Director of the National Center of Educational Outcomes and now serves in an advisory role at the TIES Center. She has practices for students with disabilities and English Learners, including national and state-wide assessment practices and policies and standard setting efforts and graduation requirements.

And Martha's specialty area focuses on and includes the development and implementation of policies and practices that include all students, including students with significant cognitive disabilities. As an Advisor to the TIES Center, Martha contributes to all aspects of the project, including its knowledge development efforts and its technical assistance work with states.

With that, I'll turn it over to Sheryl.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: Thank you, Susan.

It's a joy to be talking with all of you today and I know that Martha and I really enjoyed putting together this presentation as we thought through and worked with Linda to think about, you know, exactly what instruction might look for students who are deaf-blind and the key role that academic standards play in all of that.

So let's go ahead and get going. Now I need to figure out the hard part, I need to figure out how to move a slide. Okay.

As Susan said, I'm the Director and two projects are involved in this work we are presenting today. NCEO, the National Center on Educational Outcomes and TIES Center.

Let me tell you just a bit about NCEO is the National Technical Assistance Center to increase the participation and performance of students with disabilities on state and district-wide assessments.

We've been around a while. The Center was first funded in 1990 and the purpose NCEO is to increase the inclusion of students with disabilities and English Learners with disabilities in assessment systems. And that goes across a whole range of assessments from formative assessments, practices that might be used in a classroom to make minute by minute, day by day instructional decisions, to interim assessments, as well as to those summative state-wide tests that are typically administered once a year. NCEO serves both state and district assessment offices, as well as state and district Special Education Offices. And, also, for those students with disabilities who are English Learners, we also work English Language Development Offices, as well as with national testing organizations.

Now Susan has already told you a bit about TIES Center, so I'll go through this really, really quickly, but we are, TIES Center is the National Technical Assistance Center on Inclusive Policies and Practices for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

The purpose of the Center is to create sustainable changes in K-8 educational systems so that students with significant cognitive disabilities can fully engage in the same activities as their General Education peers in the same manner and supports, increased engagement, and learning outcomes in General Education classes and other General Education contexts.

I'm not going to go through it again, but here is a little schematic that shows what TIES stands for. Increasing time, instructional effectiveness, engagement, and the S is state support.

The purpose of this presentation, we really have it divided into basically three sections. First, we'll clarify just a bit the standard terminology because that can be so easy to get really, really confusing. There are a lot of very similar terms, all of which mean different things, but it can just become confusing.

So let us clarify that just a bit.

We will then talk a little about the role of standards for students with significant cognitive disabilities, including English Learners with significant cognitive disabilities. And we'll answer questions and we'll have a few poll

along the way to see -- to get a sense of what you're thinking and also encourage you throughout the presentation as Haylee said to put things in the chat box and we can address your questions that way.

I'm now going to turn it over to Martha.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: Great, so I'm going to start by talking about who we are talking about when we talk about students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. So that terminology is included in Federal Education Law and regulations. But there isn't a category in IDEA, there's not a disability category of most significant cognitive disability. So states are supposed to define who those students are.

So you are each in a state that has undertaken an attempt to define these students.

We have looked at the definitions that states have. The most frequent characteristics in those definitions are that there is significantly affected cognitive and adaptive functioning. That the student requires extensive individualized instruction and/or supports. And that often the student benefits from modifications to assignments and learning materials.

We have looked at students who have been identified as having the most significant cognitive disabilities and find that the most frequent primary IDEA categories are intellectual disability, autism, and multiple disabilities.

But, of course, not all students in those categories can be considered to have significant cognitive disabilities.

Okay, so a little bit of clarification. Most students with disabilities, including those who are deaf-blind, should participate in the general assessment with needed accommodations. Only a small percentage of students, and that includes students who are deaf-blind, should participate in the alternate assessment based on alternate academic achievement standards.

And those students who participate in the alternate assessment are the students who meet the State's participation guidelines, which require -- you know, include that requirement for a significant cognitive and adaptive behavior impairment.

[No audio]

>> HAYLEE MARCOTTE: Martha, this is Haylee Marcotte. I think we lost your audio. I don't you know if Martha can hear me.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: Why don't I jump in? This is Sheryl. I'll get it going and as soon as Martha gets her audio going again, she can take it back.

Where she -- I think we were when she lost her audio was discussing academic standards and the Every Student Succeeds Act. ESSA primarily used the term "academic standards," but there are many other terms that are used by educators and policymakers and this is part of the reason why it's hard sometimes to keep it all straight.

Some of the other terms that are used are academic content standards, alternate standards, extended standards, achievement standards, performance standards, and English language proficiency standards.

And, of course, each one of these means different things, so let's talk a little bit more about this. The terms all have different meanings and we really, really need to be very clear about terms that are used and their meaning and we need to -- we're going to talk a bit and try today to clarify the relationship among these terms and the roles of instruction and assessment.

Martha, is your sound back?

>> MARTHA THURLOW: [No audio]

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: I don't think so, so I will do another couple -- is your sound back?

>> MARTHA THURLOW: Can you hear me?

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: I can hear you!

>> MARTHA THURLOW: I'm so sorry. I don't know what happened, it just disappeared.

Anyway, thank you, Sheryl for continuing. I was so busy trying to get myself back in the audio that I lost track of where you were in terms of academic content standard. So how far had we gotten?

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: We were just talking about how there are so many different names for standards and how it can sometimes be confusing and ready to talk about academic content standards.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: Okay. All right, so as Sheryl may have already said, [laughter], states develop their own content standards. They often call them college and career ready content standards. And they identify what students should know and be able to do at each grade. So those content standards define the goals of instruction for all students in a grade and that includes students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. It including English Learners and English Learners with disabilities and with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

So that leads us to the first question and that's for you, thinking about your state. Do you know where to find your State's academic standards?

And Haylee will pull up the poll which gives you the option of saying:

Yes, I have looked at them.

Yes, but I have not looked at them.

Or, no.

Or, no vote.

So we see that most people indicate that they have looked at their State's academic content standards, so that's really good.

If you -- and very few say they have not at all looked at them. And some of have looked at them -- some have found them, but not really looked at them. So that's good, it's a good basis for starting.

And now I'll turn it back to Sheryl.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: So let's look at a few examples of the academic content standards.

For an example, let's look at generic, as Martha indicated there are differences that vary from state to state, but there are strong similarities from state to state.

For a generic example, Grade 4 Math, an academic content standard might be apply the area and perimeter formulas for rectangles in real world mathematical problems.

And for Grade 8 a Reading/Language Arts standard might be something like, determine a theme of a text and analyze its development over the course of the text, including its relationship to the characters, setting, and plot.

And I think that we may have not dug very deeply into it, but the contents standards are the same for all students. They aren't different for students with significant cognitive disabilities, including children who are deaf-blind than what they are for other students. The content standards are the same for all students.

Also, the Federal Education Laws do not permit an alternate curricula for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. And the actual language in this IDEA is IEP goals are designed to meet the child's needs that result from the child's disability to enable the child to be involved in and make progress in the General Education curriculum.

Now where there are differences are that there's, in addition to the content standards, which describe, you know, the curriculum, what the student is instructed in, there are also academic achievement standards and these are developed for the state assessment and are designed to show what a student needs to know and to do to perform on the state test.

These may be the grade-level achievement standards. And the grade-level achievement standards are those that are used with the general assessment or there are also alternate academic achievement standards. These standards are for the alternate assessment.

And all students are learning grade level content, but it's at different levels of breadth, depth, and complexity and that is how it is shown in the achievement standards.

These standards, the alternate achievement standards can provide useful information about what is expected of students.

Now let's come back to our example of Grade 4 Math and you may remember in the example the content standard was applied the area and perimeter formulas for rectangles in real world and mathematical problems.

For a student who is taking the general assessment, the Grade-Level

Achievement Proficiency Descriptor, so a student who is proficient would be able to solve problems that include calculating area and perimeter, including those in which size and length are missing.

For the same content standard, the Alternate Achievement Proficient Level Descriptor may be that the student solves problems using perimeter and area.

Now what are some possible ways that a student might show, might be able to access the alternate achievement standard? Well, for that Grade 4 example, our student may be able to solve problems of perimeter and area by using tactile cubes or a Braille ruler to measure the size of a cardboard rectangle. Cubes are also used to solve for area.

Going back to -- back a few slides to where we looked at the ELA reading content standard which was address themes of a text, that students, the Alternate Achievement Proficient Level Descriptor might be that the student reads via teacher presented version of an adapted text and responds by choosing a tactile reputation of a theme or by using their typical communication method.

Now we shouldn't be confused, you know, other terms and, you know, I have been using the term "Alternate Achievement Proficient Level Descriptor," but some other terms that are sometimes used to refer to these performance expectations that you'll often see in some of the state policies are extended standards, essence statements, core content, or alternate standards. These are all terms that can be used to refer expectations on the alternate assessment.

We are now to polling question number two. So if Haylee could pull that

What terminology is used for the performance expectations for students in your state who take the alternate assessment?

Extended standards.

Essence statements.

Core content.

Alternate standards.

Other terminology.

Or, don't know.

As I look at this, there's definitely variation across states. Definitely showing that those of you attending this webinar from a variety of different states that are using different terminology.

The one that is popping up as the most frequent is alternate standards. It may not have the word "achievement" in there, but just alternate standards, that's 62%.

Others that are in the, what, 10%-15% range that are commonly used amongst those of you participating in this webinar are, let's see, extended standards. And then other terminology is similarly 13% that you use some other term in your state. The same percent, you just don't know what it is. And then a few of you are in states using the terminology of essence statements or core content.

But you might want to, you know, as you just poke around on your State's alternate assessment webpages, just poke around, look and see what the achievement standards are called in your state, what those Alternate Achievement Proficiency Descriptors are called and look at them a little

up.

because it really does provide some useful information about what students who participate in alternate assessments are expected to know and to do.

I'm going to turn it back to Martha now.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: All right, thank you.

So one of the things I noted in the chat from Natalie is that Pennsylvania uses the term alternate eligible content.

So you can see with all these different terms how it can be so confusing when we start talking about content standards that are the same, achievement standards that are different, but in your states, you are using all different terms for those. Hopefully we're helping clarify the difference between content standards and achievement standards.

And if we haven't for you, please add a question to the chat or just a comment saying you are totally not understanding what we're trying to say.

I'm going to go ahead though because standards and curriculum are essential as we think about the instruction for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. That means we need to go back to that statement that regardless of the student's disability and access needs, all instruction is to start from the same content standards. Regardless of the student's disabilities. I said that twice. [Laughter]

Expectations for how much a student will master of the grade-level curriculum, that can be modified. So assignments and materials can be adapted to meet individual student needs. As Sheryl noted, there can be less depth, breadth, and complexity in terms of what is achieved, what is learned.

And regardless, there always need to be needed accommodations provided for the student. As long as we understand those accommodations do not change the content.

One other clarify that might be helpful as we think about this, so Sheryl was talking and she identified what a grade-level achievement standard looked like and what an alternate achievement standard looked like. In your state there is more than just the proficient level. And sometimes the number of levels differ for the general assessment and the alternate assessment in terms of those achievement levels.

Very often for the alternate assessment there are three levels. We talked about the proficient level. That's the critical level when you come to assessment. But you may have other levels like approaching the standard, or, near the standard, as well as proficient on the standard. I do think that helps when we think about how those standards can help us as we think about our curriculum and what we teach to students.

I'm going to move on to a few guiding principles. So there needs to be evidence that there is the same grade level aligned content for all students with disabilities, including those with significant cognitive disabilities.

I think you can see that in the examples that Sheryl provided. The same content standards are used as for all other students as the grounding.

All subject areas are provide -- that are provided to the peers are also provided to the students with disabilities.

So for example, [Clearing throat] excuse my voice -- for example, it's not just reading or just reading and math, but it's all of the content areas that your state has identified and the standards and that instruction is provided to students without disabilities.

And then as always, those decisions about needed accommodations or

needed modifications to assignments and materials, those are always tailored to the individual student. Them having the same content standard does not change that.

All right, a few do's and don'ts that help gel this content.

So do start instruction from the same grade-level standards as for all other students in that grade. And here we think Universal Design for Learning is really important to make the lessons accessible and effective for the whole class, including that student with the most significant cognitive disabilities, including the student who is deaf-blind with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

So don't use content standards from a lower grade to organize a student's curriculum, assignments, and resources. There may be scaffolding needed, but it doesn't mean going to a lower grade to look at what the content is there.

A couple more do's and don'ts.

Do provide instruction to all students with disabilities in all subject areas that are provided to students without disabilities.

And don't -- this looks like the same one, sorry -- don't use standards from a lower grade. Sorry if I missed something.

The third one, do tailor instruction and materials for the individual student using accommodations, modifications, and adaptive materials as appropriate.

And don't use a separate curriculum designed, for example, for all students with disabilities or all students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. Those are risky because they are likely to not be aligned with the grade level content standards.

I've highlighted at the bottom of this slide an encouragement for you to attend Session 2, the next webinar, on "Accessing Grade Level General Education Curriculum." It's got ideas for key components of providing access to and progress in the grade level General Education curriculum. So I really encourage you to participate in that webinar.

All right, we're going to highlight a couple resources that are helpful.

One is -- one that has been around quite a while referred to as AA-AAS, which is what we first call the "Alternate Assessment based on Alternate Achievement Standards," leaving out the critical term "academic." So we always make sure we are referring to academic standards.

This clarifies standards that are the same, but different. That is what we have been trying to explain today, but if we have not gotten it to you, do get to that brief, it's a really good one.

And shown here is another brief called, "The General Education Curriculum-Not an Alternate Curriculum." And this brief is really intended for parents, but is a good source of information. It highlights, you know, what parents might need to know so that they can participate in discussions about instruction for their child who has significant cognitive disabilities. So very helpful resource for parents as they go an IEP Team meeting where there's a discussion about perhaps the participation and the alternate assessment and then what that means for instruction for the child.

So I encourage you to look at both of those.

One more resource I want to highlight and this one is another TIES resource entitled, "Providing Meaningful General Education Curriculum

Access to Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities." This is for educators, so it really highlights information that educators need to know when they participate in discussions about instruction for the child with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

It goes into more depth about the topic. It also has some very specific examples of what might happen in a classroom for a student with the most significant cognitive disability. So I encourage you to look at that resource, also.

Okay, I'm going to kind of jump to another topic and that is English Learners with disabilities.

So there have been increasing numbers of students who are progressing toward their English language proficiency. They are perhaps called English Learners or English Language Learners in your state.

The numbers and percentages of those students are growing. They represent about 10% now of school-age students.

And approximately 9% of English Learners have disabilities. And some of those, of course, have the most significant cognitive disabilities.

There's lots of variability in these students, even though Spanish may be the most frequent language, that's not the most frequent language in all of our states, so you may be in a state where Spanish is not the most frequent language. There are many languages and many cultures represented, so it's important to be thinking about that variability when thinking about students with the most significant cognitive disabilities who are also learning English.

Critical to remember that these students require both English Language Development Services and Special Education services. We have found in some of our research in the past few years that there has been, hopefully this is much more infrequent, there was some tendency for IEP teams or those making decisions to say, well, Special Education Service trump everything else, so we're not going to worry about English language development, we'll depend on Special Ed.

That's a mistake. It's really important to have both of those services provided to those students and to have English language development experts involved in the IEP Team meetings with those students.

So like other students with disabilities, English Learners with disabilities are to be held to the same grade-level standards and have access to the same General Education curriculum as their peers.

And that means that they are held to the same grade level English language proficiency standards for reading, writing, speaking, and listening, with some held to alternate ELP achievement standards. This gets at the requirement that English Learners not only are assessed and taught in terms of the academic standards, but also in terms of the English language proficiency standards. So we need for those students really to be attending both of those.

And we have a comment in the chat box that, you know, this can be really complicated when the student is also a Sign Language user. And that is exactly right. [Laughter] It's very complicated, you know, even if the student is an oral speaker, you know, speaks orally. But it gets more complicated the more involved the student is. But that doesn't mean we should forget the need for both kinds of services.

All right, I'll just give an example here of what an ELP standard is like.

So for this example, now remember the English language proficiency looks at those four domains: Reading, writing, speaking, and listening. This is one in the reading area.

Grade-Level Achievement Proficiency Descriptor, it could be that the student reads grade level texts and identifies main ideas and supporting ideas.

Alternate achievement at the Proficient-Level Descriptor might be with prompts and support, the student uses an emerging set of strategies to identify main topic and read-aloud text or simple written text.

Again as I said for content-based achievement standards, we've only give you the proficient level here, but there are three or more other level that is describe students who are maybe far below the proficient level, to achieving it, to near, et cetera.

Those different levels can help you think about the nature of instruction for these students.

All right, that brings us to our third polling question. And that is -- thank you, Haylee -- do you know where to find your State's English language proficiency standards?

And the options are:

Yes, I've looked at them.

Yes, but I've not looked at them.

And no.

We're getting some responses here. I'll hold a minute. And it's changing a little.

It looks like the highest percentage either said yes, but I haven't looked

at them, or, no, I don't know where to find them.

And then about 27% saying, yes, and I have looked at them.

So that's an important thing to try to do in your spare time and that's especially important if you have an English Learner with a significant cognitive disability. And just one more warning before I turn it over to Sheryl, sometimes it's very difficult to know if your student with a significant cognitive disability, especially if your student is deaf-blind, whether that student is also learning English. Sometimes it takes a lot of digging to determine that, but it's really important to know, to be able to blend those English Language Development Services and Special Education services.

So, thank you, Haylee.

And I'll turn it now to Sheryl. I think.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: The place to start, the place to really be thinking about is instruction, that there is really the, what, a triangle of things that all work together. There's instruction, curriculum, and assessment.

But as we think about it, let's focus in a bit on the instructional component. That is very important to ensure access to the same content standards for all students, including those with significant cognitive disabilities and for those students who are English Learners, it's also important to ensure access to the English language development standards.

This can be done by include, for English Learners, including English language development educators in all IEP Team meetings, providing both Special Education and English Language Development Services, as Martha indicated.

And to be sure to identify needed accommodations for all students with

disabilities and for those who are English Learners with disability it's important to also identify linguistic accommodations for access to the content and state guidelines should be used for identifying which accommodations might be used.

There's several good resources related to English Learners with disabilities. The first is, and this is, I don't know, hot off the press, it's only been out for a month or two, the Assessment Principles and Guidelines for English Learners with Disabilities. This was originally published eight or ten years ago and we just recently updated it because there was so much good information in these guidelines to make it, what, to make it appropriate in the current context. So be sure to take a look at that.

And then a related project that we have is one that's funded through the Office of English Language Acquisition. And you might want to take a look at the webpage for that project. That project is called, "Improving Instruction for English Learners through Accessibility Decision-Making." And there's lots of good information on those webpages.

There's also links to a number of resources. A parent educator toolkit, including many, like, one-pagers for parent that is have been translated into five different languages. So you might want to take a look at that.

Our next polling question is an open response. What content would help you in your work? What would you find useful as you are thinking about standards and the things that we have been discussing today? What would be helpful?

>> MARTHA THURLOW: And can I jump in? I think we were asking, what content support would help you in your work? Maybe it's the same. >> SHERYL LAZARUS: Martha said it much better than I did.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: [Laughter]

Oh, sample IEP goals and activities is one example.

Concrete examples.

Clarification between IEP goals and academic standards.

Clear directives and policies.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: Yeah, a couple more popped in. Let's see. Teaching literacy for students with sensory impairments.

They're not coming in one order. Let me see. So many standards require understanding of requisite of concepts and concept development, so it is different for students who are deaf-blind and takes much longer. So I think examples that apply to students who are deaf-blind with additional disabilities would be helpful.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: Good.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: Let's see another one is elementary versus secondary approaches.

Communication supports.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: Reading to deaf students when there's not a teacher of the deaf on staff or IEP. Oh, dear.

These are really good. I'm hoping that we will keep these so we can go back to them and think about perhaps some things that we can put together that would be helpful.

You know, when I saw the example of, you know, something about more specific examples, I would refer you, again, to that TIES brief about the General Education curriculum, not an alternate curriculum. It does have specific examples, but the extent to which they are specific for students who are deaf-blind, I am not -- I can't remember, but I don't think we have an example like that.

This is something that maybe we could work on together with the National Center on Deaf-Blindness.

Are there more coming in?

Oh, yes, thank you, Jessica from TIES Center, she has reminded me that they're going to dig into more specific examples in the next two webinars. So I highlighted webinar two, so in the next two webinars you're going to get into more specific examples. Thanks for the reminder!

Okay, and we had a little hearing problem, but I think we're okay now.

And Linda indicated she will send us the responses in the poll. Thank you.

>> SHERYL LAZARUS: So now it's your turn to ask us any questions, anything that, what, what you would like to discuss, any questions you may have.

I'd like to thank you all for participating in this webinar. And you can see Martha and my contact information on the slide that's up on the screen. So just shoot us an e-mail if you think of any questions later.

>> MARTHA THURLOW: There's a link to the evaluation in the chat box. Oh, there it is! And what else are we hearing?

Multiple people are typing [laughter] so...

The list that you have provided of other content support that you would like, that's really good. That helps us a lot in thinking of where to go next. And, of course, that also encourages you to stay tuned and tune into the next two webinars provided by our colleagues.

Session 2, the next webinar is November 4th.

Great.

>> HAYLEE MARCOTTE: All right, I think that's it for questions.

Everyone is just saying thank you.

If you could please fill out the evaluation survey, we greatly appreciate your feedback. And thank you for your participation. That concludes today's webinar.

Thank you to our presenters. This was wonderful. And the recording and the materials will be posted on the NCDB website in the next day or so.

All right, I'm going to close the meeting now and thank you, everybody! >> MARTHA THURLOW: Thank you!

[Webinar concluded]

CART Disclaimer: This rough edit transcript, which may contain missing, misspelled or paraphrased words, is only provided for your immediate review and is not certified as verbatim and is not to be cited in any way.